**The Ageing Dentition: Caring and Sharing Evaluation Questionnaire Feedback**

Number of questionnaires returned by delegates: 72

This number represents all paying delegates, plus some of our additional attendees (speakers, facilitators and exhibitors), so an excellent response rate. Responses to each question are summarised below.

**Q1. Did the event meet the intended learning objectives?**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Yes | No | Partly | Not answered |
| 1. To outline the medical issues which affect the older population in order to provide appropriate and effective oral healthcare
 | 70 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 1. To investigate how a shared care process can contribute to improving a patient’s oral healthcare
 | 65 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 1. To identify members of healthcare services, locally and nationally, who may be involved with oral care provision and other practitioners from relevant healthcare services
 | 63 | 0 | 8 | 1 |

 **Q2. Please rate the presentations:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | Not answered |
| Dr Graham Walton | 53 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Ian Bovaird | 32 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Jenna Bews | 35 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Laura Stevenson | 37 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Joan MacLeod | 44 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| David Carroll | 56 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Therese Lebedis | 43 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Free text responses indicated that the majority enjoyed the variety of presentations, particularly those from out with dentistry. Some respondents expressed a desire to hear more about individual topics in future. There were a number of negative comments regarding difficulties in seeing the screen and hearing speakers, particularly from further back in the room.

**Q3. Were the facilitated case scenarios useful?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Yes | No | Partly | Not answered |
| 61 | 0 | 5 | 6 |

The free text responses illustrate that delegates enjoyed the opportunity to have discussions with colleagues. It was felt that real life case studies could be used in the future.

**Q4. What were your key “take home” messages?**

There was a huge variety of responses here, though a few common themes include:

* Importance of a multi-disciplinary approach
* Importance of a holistic approach
* Realistic and personalised care for patients, taking their wishes into account

**Q5. Would you recommend similar future events to colleagues?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Yes | No | Unsure | Not answered |
| 64 | 0 | 2 | 6 |

Those that answered ‘yes’ commented on the variety of the format. One of the respondents that marked ‘unsure’ felt it was rushed and dental implications not discussed.

 **Q6. What topics would you like to see at a future MCN event?**

There were a number of suggestions provided here. Medically compromised patients appears to be the most common response.